



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,572	06/24/2003	Brian R. Tunning	MS1-1483US	1967
22801	7590 12/13/200		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			PATEL, MANGLESH M	
SPOKANE, V		. 2 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
OFF: 4 // 2	10/602,572	TUNNING, BRIAN R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Manglesh M. Patel	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	<u>ine 2003</u> .				
·—	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed on June 24, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are pending. Claims 1, 9, 12, 13, 15, 17, 22, 26 & 28 are independent claims.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it fails to disclose the application number of the cross-reference related applications on pages 2 and 12.

Drawings

- 4. The examiner has objected to the Drawings filed on June 24, 2003.
- The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show reference numerals 106 & 118 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be

Art Unit: 2178

removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 7. Claims 1-16, 22-25 & 27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claims raise a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. To overcome this rejection the method claims should read a computer-implemented method. In addition the claims describe, "web page template" and "resource tag" etc. a tag alone does not define a tangible

Art Unit: 2178

element for performing the invention or the steps. A statutory example would be "A computer implemented method for displaying a resource tag for a web page template".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-2, 9, 12, 17-18, 21-22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donohue (U.S. 5,987,480, published Nov 16, 1999).

Regarding Independent claims 1, 12, & 28, Donohue discloses a method, comprising:

- Locating remote content referenced by a tag in a web page template (See
 Fig 1 & column 3, lines 45-67 & column 4, lines 1-4, wherein remote
 content represented by the data source is located using an embedded
 dynamic content tag within a web template);
- Converting the remote content into a markup language used in the web
 page template to create converted content (See Fig 1 & column 3, lines
 45-67 & column 4, lines 1-4, wherein the data source representing the
 remote content is converted into a markup language within a web page
 template to create converted content using markers and directives);
- Replacing the tag with the converted content in response to rendering the web page template (See Abstract, wherein the document template

containing the dynamic content tag is replaced by the content stored in the data source based on a delivery request which includes rendering the template);

And updating the tag upon a change in the remote content or the
converted content (See Abstract, It is inherent that the tag is updated
when changes are made to the remote content since the content is
represented by a dynamic content tag, thereby updating the tag upon
changes to content).

Regarding Dependent claim 2, Donohue discloses wherein the tag has associated logic for use in at least a part of at least one of the locating, the converting, the replacing, and the updating (column 10, lines 9-20, wherein the dynamic content tags perform the locating, converting, replacing and updating using the flow directives which communicate with the data source).

Regarding Independent claim 9, Donohue discloses a web page template, comprising:

A layout tag that indicates a style format for application to a presentation
of a data content (column 8, lines 25-40, wherein the template includes
the use of layout tags for describing the presentation of the data content);
and

Art Unit: 2178

A resource tag having logic for use in locating the data content in a remote resource, converting the data content to a markup language used in the web page template, substituting the converted content for the resource tag in the web page template, and updating the converted content in the web page template in response to a change in the data content in the remote resource (column 8, lines 10-25, wherein the dynamic data content tag includes the use of a flow directive that represents the resource tag for the conversion/updating of the remote data content based on the changes to the content).

Regarding Independent claim 17, Donohue discloses a content template system, comprising:

- A set of markup indicator tags for a web page template, wherein each tag
 in the set is bound to an associated element behavior (column 8, lines 4055, wherein the dynamic tags within the web page template are bound to
 an associated element behavior described by the flow directive); and
- A context object to coordinate the element behaviors of the tags (column 8, lines 40-65, wherein the element behavior described by the flow directives include context object used to coordinate the behavior of the tag, see example where month Jan is used to describe the context of the flow directive).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding Dependent claim 18, Donohue discloses wherein a tag of the set is bound to an element behavior programmed to locate remote content referenced by an attribute of the tag, convert the remote content into a markup language used in the web page template, replace the tag with the converted content, and dynamically update the converted content in the web page template when the remote content changes (column 8, lines 10-65, wherein the dynamic tag is associated to an element behavior described by the flow directive which is used to access the remote content which includes the updating, converting and replacing of the content).

Regarding Dependent claim 21, Donohue discloses a browser, wherein the browser renders the web page template and renders the tags bound to element behaviors (column 2, lines 8-21, wherein a browser is used to render the templates including tags).

Regarding Independent claim 22, Donohue discloses a content template engine, comprising:

A web page processor to render a web page template having markup indicator tags into web page (See Abstract & column 6, lines 1-35, wherein the markup indicator tags are described by the dynamic content tags and are rendered by a web page processor);

Art Unit: 2178

 A tag interpreter associated with the web page processor to obtain a logic bound to one or more of the tags(column 2, lines 5-25, wherein the tags are interpreted by the browser);

- A data content locator to determine a location of a remote resource having data content referenced by a tag (See Fig 1 & column 3, lines 45-67 & column 4, lines 1-4, wherein remote content represented by the data source is located using an embedded dynamic content tag within a web template);
- A language converter to change the data content into a language used in
 the web page template(See Fig 1 & column 3, lines 45-67 & column 4,
 lines 1-4, wherein the data source representing the remote content is
 converted into a markup language within a web page template to create
 converted content using markers and directives);
- A dynamic content engine to replace the tag with the converted data content and dynamically update the converted content in the web page template when the data content changes in the remote resource (See Abstract, wherein the document template containing the dynamic content tag is replaced by the content stored in the data source based on a delivery request which includes rendering the template. It is inherent that the tag is updated when changes are made to the remote content since the content is represented by a dynamic content tag, thereby updating the tag upon changes to content).

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/602,572

Art Unit: 2178

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 11. Claims 26 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Messler (U.S. 6,970,861, filed Apr 6, 2001).

Regarding Independent claim 26, Messler discloses in a computer system having a graphical user interface including a display and a user interface selection device, a method of providing and selecting from a menu on the display comprising the steps of:

- Retrieving a set of edit menu entries for the menu including a cascade menu entry, the cascade menu entry having a specified default command and a set of cascade menu items associated therewith (See figure 2 & column 4, lines 50-65, wherein a set of edit menu entries that include a cascade menu are shown);
- Displaying the menu on the display comprising the set of edit menu entries (See figure 2 & column 4, lines 25-65, wherein the edit menu is displayed on a display device);
- Receiving an edit menu entry selection signal indicative of the user interface selection device pointing at the cascade menu entry on the display, and, in response to the selection signal, displaying the set of

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/602,572

Art Unit: 2178

cascade menu items associated with the cascade menu entry (See figure 2 & column 4, lines 25-65, wherein a cascade menu is shown including the selection of an edit menu); and

Receiving an execution signal indicative of a user selecting the
cascade menu entry, and in response to the execution signal,
performing the specified default command (See figure 2 & column 4,
lines 25-65, wherein a cascade menu is shown including the selection
of an edit menu thereby performing a default action or command).

Regarding Dependent claim 27, Messler discloses:

- a) The receiving of an edit menu entry selection signal (column 4, lines 50-
- 52, wherein a edit menu is displayed based on the user selection)
- b) Results in a display of a corresponding edit (column 4, lines 53-60)
- c) In a content of a web page (column 4, lines 25-45)
- d) Being generated from a web page template (column 2, lines 35-55, wherein the GUI is based on a web page thereby inherently including an underlying template to describe the user interface).
- e) Being edited using the edit menu entries (column 2, lines 35-55, wherein the menu entries are used to perform the edit)
- f) In the menu being presented on the display (See figure 1, wherein the menu is provided on a display).

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 3-8, 10-11, 13-16, 19-20, 23-25 & 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donohue (U.S. 5,987,480, filed Jul 25, 1996) in view of Heidingsfeld (U.S. 6,823,359, filed Nov 21, 2000).

Regarding Dependent claims 3 & 29, Donohue teaches the use of dynamic content tags embedded within a web document template for accessing remote content (Abstract). However Donohue fails to teach the real-time updating of the generated web page. Heidingsfeld teaches displaying updates of the tag in real-time in a web page generated by the web page template (See Abstract, wherein dynamic data represented by the dynamic content tag is updated on a web page in a real-time basis). Donohue and Heidingsfeld are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of delivery of dynamic data in a browser system. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings

Art Unit: 2178

of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 4, Donohue teaches the use of dynamic content tags embedded within a web document template for accessing remote content (Abstract). However Donohue fails to teach the real-time updating of the generated web page using a preview tag. Heidingsfeld teaches processing a preview tag in the web page template, wherein the preview tag has associated logic for use in at least a part of the displaying (column 4, lines 14- 30, wherein the display tier represents the preview tag since it accomplishes the same task of displaying the real-time dynamic content). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claims 5 & 30, Donohue teaches presenting editing controls for editing the converted content in the web page template, wherein the editing displays one or more edits in real-time in the web page generated by the

Art Unit: 2178

web page template (column 3, lines 35-36, wherein the template includes editing programs that inherently contain editing controls used for designing the web page templates. However Donohue fails to teach display of a real-time web page generated by the template). Heidingsfeld teaches real-time generation of a web page based on the web page template (See Abstract). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction.

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment

Regarding Dependent claim 6, Donohue teaches processing an edit tag in the web page template, wherein the edit tag has logic for use in at least a part of the editing of the converted content (column 10, lines 9-20, wherein the web page template is designed using an editor thereby it is inherent that an edit tag is used to perform the editing of the content).

Regarding Dependent claim 7, Donohue teaches displaying editing controls for the editing in one pane displayed on a computer display and simultaneously displaying the one or more edits of the converted content in real-time in the web

Art Unit: 2178

page displayed in another pane on the computer display (column 10, lines 9-20, wherein an editor is used to design the web page template, however Donohue fails to teach the real-time display of the edits in the template). Heidingsfeld teaches the displaying of real-time edits represented by the dynamic data in the web page template (See Abstract). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment

Regarding Dependent claim 8, Donohue teaches binding an element behavior to one of the tag, the preview tag, and the edit tag (column 8, lines 40-55, wherein the binding is represented by the flow directive for describing the element behavior to the dynamic tag).

Regarding Dependent claim 10, Donohue teaches the use of dynamic content tags embedded within a web document template for accessing remote content (Abstract). However Donohue fails to teach the real-time updating of the generated web page. Heidingsfeld teaches preview tag having logic to display

dynamic updates of the converted content in real-time in a web page generated by the web page template (column 4, lines 14- 30, wherein the display tier represents the preview tag since it accomplishes the same task of displaying the real-time dynamic content, thereby providing dynamic updates of the converted content based on the web page template). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 11, Donohue teaches an edit tag to present editing controls for editing the converted content in the web page template, wherein the editing is displayed in real-time in the web page generated by the web page template (column 3, lines 35-36, wherein the template includes editing programs that inherently contain editing tags used for designing the web page templates. However Donohue fails to teach display of a real-time web page generated by the template). Heidingsfeld teaches real-time generation of a web page based on the web page template (See Abstract). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the

Art Unit: 2178

generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Independent claim 13, Donohue teaches the use of dynamic content tags embedded within a web document template for accessing remote content (Abstract). However Donohue fails to teach the real-time updating of the generated web page using a preview tag. Heidingsfeld teaches a preview tag for a web page template, comprising: a reference to at least part of the web page template to display as a web page; a reference to logic to dynamically update the web page to display changes in content, style, and layout in the web page template (column 2, lines 1-30 & column 4, lines 14- 30, wherein the display tier represents the preview tag since it accomplishes the same task of displaying the real-time dynamic content. The preview tag includes a reference to the content which is part of the web page template. In addition the display tier includes reference to layout information received from the distribution tier for display to the user as desired). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the

Art Unit: 2178

continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 14, Donohue teaches wherein the change in content is displayed when the content changes based on a corresponding change in content in a remote content resource (See Abstract, wherein the change in content inherently includes the display since the content is represented in the template by a dynamic content tag, therefore updates to the content will directly affect the content tag).

Regarding Independent claim 15, Donohue teaches an edit tag for a web page template, comprising: a reference to at least part of a web page template to edit (column 10, lines 9-20, wherein an editor is used to make changes to the web page template, thereby it inherently includes edit tags that reference locations within the template to apply the changes); a reference to logic for use in presenting editing controls for editing content in the web page template, wherein the editing displays any edits in real-time in a web page generated by the web page template (Donohue teaches the use of an editor that includes editing controls for applying changes to the web page template, however Donohue fails

to teach the display of the edited template in real-time). Heidingsfeld teaches real-time generation of a web page based on the web page template (See Abstract). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 16, Donohue teaches wherein the content is dynamically updated when a corresponding content in a remote content resource changes (See Abstract, wherein the change in content inherently includes the display since the content is represented in the template by a dynamic content tag, therefore updates to the content will directly affect the content tag).

Regarding Dependent claim 19, Donohue teaches wherein a tag of the set is bound to an element behavior programmed to display dynamic updates of the converted content in real-time in a web page generated by the web page template (column 8, lines 10-40, wherein the dynamic tag is bound to an element

behavior described by the flow directive to display the dynamic updates of the converted content. However Donohue fails to teach the updates of the dynamic data in real-time). Heidingsfeld teaches real-time generation of a web page based on the web page template (See Abstract). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 20, Donohue teaches wherein a tag of the set is bound to an element behavior programmed to present editing controls for editing the converted content in the web page template and displaying the editing in real-time in the web page generated by the web page template (column 8, lines 10-40, wherein the dynamic tag is bound to an element behavior described by the flow directive to display the dynamic updates of the converted content. The editing controls are described within the template using HTML tags for character and paragraph formatting to display the content. However Donohue fails to teach the updates of the dynamic data in real-time). Heidingsfeld teaches real-time generation of a web page based on the web page template (See Abstract). At the

Art Unit: 2178

time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 23, Donohue teaches the use of dynamic content tags embedded within a web document template for accessing remote content (Abstract). However Donohue fails to teach the use of a preview engine for displaying the template in real-time. Heidingsfeld teaches a preview engine to use logic bound to a previewing tag to request a web page template and display dynamic updates of the converted content in real-time in a web page generated by the web page template (See abstract, wherein the preview engine used to display the web page template and the dynamic data in real-time is done by the display tier). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of

Art Unit: 2178

dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 24, Donohue teaches a module editor to use editing logic bound to an editing tag to present editing controls for changing the converted content in the web page template, wherein the editing is displayed in real-time in the web page generated by the web page template (column 10, lines 9-20, wherein an editor is used to make changes to the web page template including editing controls, thereby it inherently includes edit tags that reference locations within the template to apply the changes. However Donohue fails to tech the use of an editor for displaying the content in real-time). Heidingsfeld teaches real-time generation of a web page based on the web page template (See Abstract). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in real-time. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Regarding Dependent claim 25, Donohue teaches the use of dynamic content tags embedded within a web document template for accessing remote content

Art Unit: 2178

(Abstract). Including the use of an editor. However Donohue fails to teach the use of a pane controller to display the interface to edit the template simultaneously. Heidingsfeld teaches a pane controller, to display a user interface for editing simultaneously with a display of the web page generated by the web page template (See Abstract, although Heidingsfeld doesn't specifically describe the use of two simultaneous panes for editing and displaying, he does disclose the real-time display of the template, the editor is described by Donohue. However the display of the template is based on the changes made to the dynamic data therefore it inherently includes the displaying of both panes to show the real-time updating of the dynamic content within the web template page). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the updating of the generated web page in realtime. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the continuous updating of data without requiring refreshment of the web page thereby reducing user interaction. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Heidingsfeld with Donohue for the benefits of allowing real-time display of dynamic data in a browser reducing user interaction for page refreshment.

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

Other Prior Art Cited

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Hind et al. (U.S. Pub 2002/0122054) discloses "Representing And Managing Dynamic Data Content For Web Documents"
- Bernstein et al. (U.S. Pub 2003/0014443) discloses "Dynamic Web Page Generation"
- Alexander (U.S. 6,732,331) discloses "System And Process For Managing Content Organized In A Tag-Delimited Template Using Metadata"
- Melbin (U.S. 6,397,217) discloses "Hierarchical Caching Techniques For Efficient Dynamic Page Generation"

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manglesh M. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5937. The examiner can normally be reached on M,F 8:30-6:00 T,TH 8:30-3:00 Wed 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571)272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manglesh M. Patel

Patent Examiner

December 9, 2005

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Cesa B Paule